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CHAIRMAN HOMA: Our hearings tonight are LB-6-23-1, Aandrei J. Investors, LLC, Preliminary and Final Subdivision, Block 68, Lots 16.09, 16.10, 16.11, and 16.12.

MR. THOMAS: May I proceed?
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: For the record, and again I understand that I'll try to do my best to keep my voice up, number one, and stay close to the mic.

Again, my name is Roger W. Thomas from the law firm of Dolan and Dolan on behalf of the Applicant. Just to refresh everybody's recollection this is a matter that we are here, you may recall, and I'm sure you do, that this was an application that had come before you back in March. It stems from a long ago Preliminary and Final Major Subdivision approval of a number of lots. The lot in question that we're dealing with is Lot 16.09. It is a lot that is now under contract to be purchased by the McAllisters who are here tonight. They are anxiously looking to try to get into their house and have been for a while.

There was a variance application that had been before this Board in March that was reviewed by this Board. It was ultimately denied. The Board during the course of that hearing made a

1 recommendation. That recommendation was to end up -to provide a modification or a redesign of the cul-de-sac for Anthony Court.

My clients have, in fact, taken advantage of that recommendation and have now come before you to seek to have an amendment to the preliminary and final major subdivision so that they can then be in a position to accommodate what you have recommended which is the redesign of the cul-de-sac in a manner that will then allow the house to no longer require a variance. It was now in accordance with your Ordinance for the front yard setback. There is a modification of the cul-de-sac in terms of a redesign.

We will have testimony with regard to the background about this property, as well as Mr. Kolody who you requested to have here. He is now here. Come up from Florida to give testimony regarding what the status of this is, what he has designed. The fact that there is no impact with regard to the cul-de-sac in terms of any drainage flow or anything of that nature. And he was also -- is also prepared to answer all of the comments that were made by your conflict engineer, Mr. Tom Knutelsky.

So with that, unless you have any questions of me, first of all, $I$ want to thank you all for
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1 coming. It's nice to see we have what amounts to, from my viewpoint, a full Board and I appreciate that and so do my clients. If you have any questions of me I'm happy to answer them. If not, I will try to be expeditious and I would like to present my first witness.

Mr. Hook? Could we have him sworn in, please?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Yes. Mr. Hook, please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm the testimony that you're about to give before this Board is going to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. HOOK: I do.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Please tell us your name, spell your last name, and give us your business address.

MR. HOOK: David Hook, H-O-O-k. Address is
3 Brecia Court, B-r-e-c-i-a, Lafayette.
D A V I D H O O K, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY MR. BRIGLIADORO:
Q. David, why don't you have a seat.

And again, it's important that we get you on the mic, so that if you bring the mic close to you,
and it does appear as though --
A. Testing, testing.
Q. There we go.

David, can you indicate what your
relationship with the Applicant is for the Board?
A. I am the managing member and partner of Aandrei J. Investors.
Q. And you heard me state in my opening statement so to speak that this particular application is part of an overall subdivision that had been approved some time ago by the Planning Board in Hardyston Township; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And is it my understanding that there was a Preliminary Major Subdivision approval back in 2004?
A. Correct.
Q. And ultimately it became a Final Major Subdivision and it was subsequently back in 2008?
A. That is also correct.
Q. And part of that subdivision, as I understand it, included this particular road which is known as Anthony Court?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. It's my understanding further that there is infrastructure that's already in place and
that the roads are already put in; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And as well as drainage structures and all of the necessary --
A. Drainage, lighting, shade trees, yes.
Q. Now, this particular lot that we're talking about is known as Lot 16.09, and you're familiar with that lot; correct?
A. Very.
Q. I'm going to show you, and of course all the Board members have a copy of the map that has been prepared by the engineer. Can you tell us with regard to that lot, is there a contract to purchase that lot, as well as a house on that lot?
A. That is correct, by the McAllisters.
Q. Okay. Was there a -- was there construction done on the house?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. It is my understanding that the construction took place, there was a foundation, and that there were issued by the Township a series of permits; is that also correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And as a result of those permits the house was then essentially completed; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And unfortunately what ended up happening is because there had not been a request for a foundation survey, and the client -- your -- the client did not submit a foundation survey it became apparent after all the permits were issued and after the house was built and placed that there was a deviation from what the front yard setback should be; is that correct?
A. Yes, that it is.
Q. And is that what occasioned the necessity for the application for variance?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're familiar with that application and that application came before this Board; did it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's your understanding that that application was, in fact, denied by the Board?
A. That is correct.
Q. Were you present at that time?
A. I was.
Q. Can you tell us what was your recollection in terms of the Board's recommendation in light of the fact that the variance did not take place, did they have recommendations with regard to alternatives to

1 allow this house to be occupied?
A. Yes. During that evening the Board had made several suggestions in regards to moving the road so that we would not need a variance.
Q. Okay. Did the -- you the Applicant contract an engineer to take that suggestion under advisement?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And did you ultimately end up presenting a plan that is now before the Board with regard to that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Can you tell us what that plan essentially is attempting to do?
A. This plan is -- can you hear me all right? This plan is shifting the road so that it is out of both the right-of-way and the shade tree easement, giving the house the adequate space to not need a variance with 35 feet -- 45 feet overall from edge of pavement.
Q. And it's my understanding that this house is under contract to be purchased; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And are you familiar with the couple that is under contract?
A. Very familiar.
Q. And their name is?
A. Larry and Frances McAllister.
Q. And they are here tonight?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Is there anything further that you can add with regard to the background so that the Board has a clear understanding of what we're trying to accomplish here tonight?
A. I believe the Board knows this case very well as we have been here more than once now in regards to the same matter. So other than that I believe you covered everything quite thoroughly.
Q. Okay. I'm going a little bit out of order, but you are familiar with the fact that there has been an engineering report; correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And you are aware that as part of that engineering report there had been a determination at the last meeting, I believe it was the 14 th, whatever the date was, that this matter has been -- this application has been deemed complete, correct, you were here?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And there was a further request, I guess is the best way to put it, on the part of the Board
engineer, indicating that there were a number of items where we were requesting waivers and he thought that those waivers were appropriate. There were several other items where he thought that they were appropriate for purposes of completeness but wanted to have additional testimony. And you remember that comment from Mr. McAllister; correct?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And as a result of that we are having -and by the way, your company has contracted an engineer to prepare the plans that he's prepared tonight to testify on that; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And his name is?
A. Mike Kolody, Mr. Kolody.
Q. However, there is something in that report that is requested of the Applicant. And I prepared an affidavit, but you're here tonight. So I'd ask that you make comments with regard to the items that are dealing with completeness as it relates in that affidavit, and you can maybe relate it to those issues because they're in the affidavit itself.
A. Absolutely. I have the affidavit here now. With regards to Items 18 and 19 on the final subdivision checklist I advise as follows:

I certify that the Applicant is seeking a current amendment Preliminary and Final Major Subdivision approval for Lot 16.09, 16.10, 16.11 and 16.12, Block 68. As this approval is being sought currently $I$ can certify that all terms of the preliminary approval will be incorporated into the final approval and be a part of the final approval plan with regards to Item 19 on the final subdivision checklist. I can further certify that the final subdivision plan is identical to the preliminary subdivision plan and that no deviations will occur.
Q. And that the only modifications, of course, are going to be the redesign that is going to be suggested by the Board and now incorporated into this plan for the bulk of the cul-de-sac on Anthony Court; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And there was one final stipulation that is requested by Mr. Knutelsky with regard to the fact that this is part of, although it doesn't affect the balance of the subdivision he did want to end up having a representation from the Applicant, you, that there's no modifications. Do you have such a representation that's contained in Item number three of the report?

$$
\text { A. } \quad \text { I do. }
$$

Q. Of your affidavit, I mean? And what does that state?
A. "There will be no changes to the previously approved Preliminary and Final Subdivision -- and Final Major Subdivision as part of this project except that which is subject matter of this application."
Q. So all three of those items that have been requested to be testified to or given testimony by Mr. Knutelsky you've now represented that you as the Applicant are certifying that those things will be taken care of, and you're agreeing that they would be reasonable conditions of any approval; correct?
A. I do, correct.
Q. I have no more questions of this particular witness.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Any questions from the Board? Rich, any questions?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: I have no questions.
MR. KNUTELSKY: Mr. Homa, we take no
exception to the information provided.
MR. THOMAS: Public, I guess?
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Do you have your next witness, your engineer?

MR. THOMAS: Certainly. Would you like to do that first and then have the public later?

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Chairman, I would recommend since there's only one other witness.

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Let's hear the Applicant's case in its entirety and then we can open it up to the public and get any comments.

MR. THOMAS: That's perfectly fine with me. Thank you, Mr. Hook.

THE WITNESS: Thank you all.
MR. THOMAS: I'd like to call my next witness, Mr. Kolody. Mr. Kolody, if you can remain standing to be sworn, please.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give before this Board is going to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. KOLODY: Yes, I do.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Please tell us your name and spell your last name for the record.

MR. KOLODY: Michael Kolody, K-o-l-o-d-y.
My address is 105 Via Capri, New Smyrna Beach, Florida.
M I CHAE K O L O D Y, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

CHAIRMAN HOMA: And you're licensed?

THE WITNESS: I was licensed in New Jersey and it's been held continuously since 1974, 50 years. I'm a licensed engineer and surveyor.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Okay.
BY MR. THOMAS:
Q. Since we have already gotten --

MR. THOMAS: May I proceed?
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes.
Q. Why don't you have a seat, Mike. Since the

Chair has already asked some of the preliminary questions with regard to your background and your qualifications, you already indicated that you continue to be a licensed engineer in the state of New Jersey and have been since 19 -- I forget, 19 --
A. So do I. 1974.
Q. And have you engaged in work involving subdivision site plans in front of Land Use Boards, Planning Boards, and Zoning Boards in the state of New Jersey in your career?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And, in fact, have you been qualified and your qualifications been accepted by way of testimony before Boards in the state of New Jersey in the past?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And can you tell the Board whether or

1 not -- I don't know that you need to explain each and every one, but give us an understanding of how many Boards you have testified to as a professional engineer and where those testimony -- where that testimony has been? And approximately how many Boards you have testified and been qualified as a professional engineer?
A. I can't give you a specific number, however, I have been approved and testified in 20 of the 21 counties in this state. I relocated primarily to Sussex County in 1983 and changed the focus of my practice to this area.

I was the sole engineer for Lake Mowhawk Country Club for 25 years on all their zoning, planning matters, construction projects, so on and so forth. I honestly don't recall if I've ever appeared in Hardyston. I've had projects in Hardyston but they haven't necessarily been before the Boards. And I appeared before every other one except Walpack that I know of.
Q. And in fact, you have been involved in this project as a professional engineer and surveyor -- and by the way, you're also a licensed surveyor; is that also correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And that's in the state of New Jersey?
A. I'm also, or I was also a licensed professional planner up till last year, but in an attempt to finally really retire I have placed that on inactive status, but I've been qualified.
Q. In addition to representing applicants before Boards, and in addition to representing Lake Mohawk, have you represented any other municipalities as a professional engineer in the state of New Jersey?
A. Yes, I have. The Borough of Mine Hill, Morris County. Stillwater Township, after believe it or not I became elected as a committee person in Stillwater. So I have heavy involvement throughout this area here. I work for Warren County in an affirmative action program.
Q. I have --
A. I would have to go through my whole resume to see where I've been.
Q. I think you've done that well enough. MR. THOMAS: I have no further questions with regard to his qualifications. Would you accept him as a qualified engineer in the State of New Jersey? CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes.

BY MR. THOMAS:
Q. Thank you. Mike, you also have been
involved in this particular project for a long time; correct?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And tell us how long, and what your involvement has been?
A. Well, I was contracted by the owners in 2008. All the preliminary construction was complete and there was a dispute that arose between the owners and the township engineer, Mr. Guerin, regarding some engineering features in their detention basins. That issue was resolved.

After that I went on to survey the entire property to tie myself into the boundaries of the final plat. I then prepared plot plans for Lot 16.02, 16.04,16.06, 16.08 and 16.11. Those, except for one of them, have all been built and they all were in full compliance with the standards of the Township. In addition I am currently employed by the owner to prepare plot plans, septic plans, and soiling erosion sediment control plans for an additional seven lots: $16.03,16.07,16.10,16.16,16.17,16.15$. So I have an ongoing complete knowledge of the property and the conditions thereon.
Q. Mike, you are familiar with the report that's been submitted by Mr. Knutelsky, correct, in
this matter?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And you are further aware that this matter has been deemed complete at the last meeting and that the waivers with regard to the completeness have been granted; however, he did indicate in the last meeting on the 14 th that he wanted to get testimony with regard to certain elements or certain items that are on the checklist, both preliminary checklist and final checklist. You're aware of that; correct?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. And he has indicated that he'd like to get additional testimony on items for the preliminary checklist, Items 21, 25, 26, 27, and 36. If would like I can end up reviewing those items. And let's go to Item number 21, a written cost estimate of construction cost for all site improvements excluding buildings. Did you have occasion to prepare that?
A. Yes. The cost estimate I prepared was for the reconstruction work necessary to relocate the cul-de-sac to be a left turning cul-de-sac, as opposed to a center cul-de-sac which it is at this particular time. I did not prepare cost estimates for the entire rest of the project.
Q. Understood. And you have submitted those

1 to Mr. Knutelsky; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have -- and I've now submitted them on a certified copy you handed to me today to the Board Secretary?
A. Yes, I have. Mr. Knutelsky reviewed. I sent him a preliminary cost estimate. He reviewed it for some of the unit prices and I researched a little further and I revised my estimate. And that's dated August 18 th of this year.
Q. And that's -- and that's the one that's submitted with your certification and your seal; correct?
A. Well, it was submitted without my seal, but I did bring copies with me, one of which I gave to you which can be submitted.
Q. And which I have given to the Board Secretary?
A. Yes.
Q. With regard to the next item number 25 it says, the location of existing utilities on-site within 50 feet of the site, including but not limited to sanitary sewer, septic system, stormwater sewers, water lines and wells, gas lines, telephone, electric, and cable. Can you give a response with regard to that?
A. That will be provided because the only item I don't have a handle on is the exact location of the underground utilities that have already been built into the road right-of-way. It will be necessary for the utility company to mark them out and I will then add them to my construction plans.
Q. And that's something that you are -- would expect that that would be a reasonable condition of any approval that this Board granted; correct?
A. Yes. I have no difficulty with that at all.
Q. There is also number 26, landscaping plan showing proposed shade trees and other landscaping including species and size of plant materials, buffer elements showing berms, vegetation, fences including all appropriate construction details. Can you respond to that one?
A. The only thing that's proposed in the way of landscaping is topsoil and seeding of some of the road that has to be removed and restored. There's planting of the shade trees which are covered under the original approval and in the original cost estimate.
Q. I can end up indicating I did research this matter. There were bonds that were submitted by the Applicant. Those bonds have now been acquired by the

1 Township, and I have spoken with your administrator. And she has affirmed that, in fact, a combination of both cash and bond money, there is approximately 355 to 60, she wasn't exactly sure of the exact number, that is now in possession of the Township for purposes of whatever needs to be done, if anything, either by way of maintenance or other activities that may be necessary with regard to this, the entirety of the subdivision, which would include trees and things of that nature; correct?
A. Yes.

MR. KNUTELSKY: If I may just interject very quickly there with regard to landscaping. The spirit of my comment in having a temporary waiver for completeness only with the Applicant to testify about landscaping also went to the matter of the shade tree easement. Is that something that will also be added to the plan in the same light as the utility information? THE WITNESS: Yes. It will. MR. KNUTELSKY: Thank you.

BY MR. THOMAS:
Q. Thank you, John. With regard to number 27, lighting plan showing the location of streetlights, including the height of the proposed lights, details of the proposed lights should be provided. Can you
respond to that?
A. Yes. There are no new lighting proposed. There is one light that exists now at the end of the cul-de-sac. Once the utility company marks it out we'll then know if it has to be relocated. I have included a cost estimate for that in my overall construction cost estimate.
Q. Okay.
A. Until we know exactly where it is we can't have an exact answer.
Q. Number 36, it says a copy of all existing proposed protective covenants and deed restrictions affecting the subject property, including a statement as to whether or not such deeds are of record.

I have submitted as part of the application process the package, a complete list of all the deeds as it relates to this particular subdivision and they have no impact upon this particular lot or these -this cul-de-sac deviation and modification. And I think that material has been available to both Mr. Knutelsky and Mr. Brigliadoro for their review, so I assume that's acceptable.

Next we're going to go to the next series of comments that deal with the items, particularly as it relates to the final major subdivision, and they are

1 outlined in regard to Items 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21. I believe that's it. Yes.

I've already, just so that the Board is clear, I've already had Mr. Hook testify with regard to Items 19 -- 18 and 19 as part of the affidavit that was submitted that was already testified so that it is clear that as part of the testimony and the affidavit that they're verifying that all the terms and the conditions of the preliminary approval will remain in full force and effect and they understand that that's the case and certifies to that. He's also testified that with regard to the final subdivision that the final subdivision will be identical to the preliminary subdivision. And that is true other than the redesign of the cul-de-sac as presented to you for review tonight as far as Anthony Court.

Now, with regard to the other items, there is again, and it's a repetition but none the less it's certainly in the final, and that is Item 14 a written estimate of cost, a construction cost. That's one you've already testified to; correct?
A. Correct and been provided.
Q. Number 16 again is a repetition. It's talking about copies of all existing and proposed protective easements, covenants, restrictions. I've

1 already indicated that we have submitted that material as part of the application and it's been available for purposes of review and by your engineering and attorney.

I've already talked about 18 and 19. To me it appears repetitive. 16 we just talked about in terms of the protective easements and deeds. Twenty talks about copies of all existing and proposed protective covenants and deeds. That has been submitted as part of the original application and it's been in possession of the Board as well as the Board consultants since the application was submitted.

And then lastly, and this will be Mr.
Kolody's response, an electronic copy prepared by a AutoCAD for the as-built and final plat shall be provided. Can you respond to that, Mike?
A. Will be provided. All my engineering work is done on an AutoCAD based system. Very easy to share, which I will.
Q. Now, let us go to the actual modifications that we are dealing with tonight. It's my understanding that you have prepared a two-sheet plan indicating the actual redesign of the cul-de-sac on Anthony Court; is that correct?
A. Yes. Those drawings are entitled

1 Preliminary and Final Subdivision Plat Portion of Brecia Farms, bears the date of May 25 th of this year.
Q. Can you go through and give testimony as to what you have done in regard to that redesign and modification?
A. Yes. The reason there's two pages there's really two aspects of this. One has to do with the arrangement of the lot lines and the right-of-way lines. And the second one deals with construction details which will assure that the work will all be done in accordance with the Township standards and will not cause any unnecessary disruption to the existing drainage patterns. Let me go with the right-of-way lines at this point. As we know --
Q. Excuse me. Is that the top sheet?
A. Yes. That is the tope Sheet number One. As we know the home for whatever reason on Lot 16.09 it is too close to the road. Simply put, it was in the wrong place. I've heard reasons why. I did not stake the house out. I did not prepare the plot land, but I am aware the house is in the wrong place.

It has been surveyed. As-built survey has been done and what's shown on this plan is exactly -chose the house 5.1 feet off the existing road right-of-way line. I've prepared a drawing which

1 relocates the cul-de-sac which will then make the house fully compliant with your zoning standards. It will be 35.1 feet. That's accomplished by relocating the cul-de-sac away from the property to the southwest.

One, I wouldn't call it a problem, but one fact that had to be addressed is Lot 16.10 and 16.11 . Couldn't have their property lines severely shortened to the new cul-de-sac because it would then cause a frontage violation, and we wouldn't want to have that. So they will remain with the exact frontage in the location where it's proposed.

To do that we have to take land from 16.09 -- excuse me. We have to add land to 16.09 . That's 1,923.4-square feet of the existing road right-of-way. And basically by shifting the cul-de-sac to the southwest we will then dedicate an additional 4,728.3-square feet of what is now Lot 16.12 to new road right-of-way. Both Lots 16.09 and 16.12 will still meet all the side yards, front yards, rear yards, and all the spacial standards in your ordinance. The second page, and there's a chart in the front which indicates that they -- that they do comply.

The second page addresses the physical changes that will occur. As I stated previously, and as shown in this drawing Sheet Two of Two, there are
two storm drain inlets located to the southeast of the end of the cul-de-sac on Anthony Court. Currently, all the water from the cul-de-sac drains to those inlets, which is then carried through an internal system down to a large detention basin.

The construction that is proposed maintains that standard. No change is proposed to drainage patterns. Lot 16.11, which is not owned by our Applicant, Lot 16.12 and 16.10, and 16.09 will have the frontages of those lots drained to the cul-de-sac and be handled in accordance with the way your Ordinance requires it.

It will require slight changes in grade in the road. I am saying less than one foot in height in the road area itself which will be handled by the construction. There is materials that will have to be lowered on Lot 16.12. That material will be regraded elsewhere on the property. There will be no materials removed from the subdivision. There will be no material brought to the subdivision. When the road was created topsoil was stockpile and that will be re-spread on the perimeter of the cul-de-sac in areas that we're restoring.
Q. Is it your testimony that as a result of the redesign and modification that is now before the

Board it is in full compliance with all of the standards both from an ordinance viewpoint and from a stormwater viewpoint as well?
A. Yes. When the subdivision -- extending that subdivision was created to provide a single-family home site. That intention does not change it at all. There are no additional lots created, no lots taken away. It's just slightly different in appearance at the terminus of Anthony Court.
Q. Okay. You have had occasion to review -do you have anything further that you would like to advise the Board with regard to your design so that -I think you fully explained it but just in case there's anything you'd further like to testify in regard to those two.
A. That's kind of the explanation. The only thing I do want to point out is that where the cul-de-sac is being extended on 16.12 will not remove any trees. This was a former field so the change will be -- it won't even be really noticed out there other than the fact that Lot 16.09 will have a viable frontage and will fit into the neighborhood just like all the rest of the lots here. They all have 35 feet or greater setbacks.
Q. Okay. You have had occasion to review the
report of Mr. Knutelsky which is dated 11th of August 20, 2023.

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to defer to you. What I thought would be helpful was have Mr. Kolody go through the items now which are now under Section C of page three so that he can testify to them, unless you would like to have your engineer go through them and then --

CHAIRMAN HOMA: No. Mr. Kolody can go first.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Fine. BY MR. THOMAS:
Q. Mike, if you can go through the items that you have, and I'm now referring both you and the Board to the Knutelsky report on page three Item $C$, and then we have C-1 through 17. And I guess we'll start with number one.
A. Okay. I have reviewed it. I have made comments on it. I can go through this pretty quickly because I agree with most things.

Item one, I agree.
Item two, no change. The ownership had no responsibility. Anthony Court will continue as it was on the original subdivision.

Item number three deals with the lighting
utility which I did discuss. They will be located and the construction plan Sheet Two of two will be modified to include that information on it for the relocation, if necessary, which it probably will. That will be shown on there.

Item four, add a note to the plans. Yes. I will add the note to the plans. That deals with the restoration of portions of the road, which even though they remain in the road right-of-way are not needed for the traveled way. So they'll be put in the grass. So they'll be just like in front of every other house. That last 10 feet from the property line to the edge of pavement will be town owned but maintained by the property owner.
Q. And just so it's clear, the note that you're referring to is in the second and third sentence with regard to the fact that that note will include that all pavement removed shall be appropriately packed with saw cut and center. That note will be added and will in fact be done?
A. Yes. Well, the note -- actually, I have added it to the plan but not filed yet, plus other changes we had.

Items five and six $I$ just simply agree with. They are statements of fact as to the setbacks

1 as they are and they will be, and I have no 2 disagreement with any of it.

Item seven deals with the extension of the driveway 16.11. That is not part of this application. It's owned privately. The developer will extend the lot -- the driveway at his expense to a location that I've shown on the plans, but I'm sure an agreement can be reached as to its exact location. I know the -- I also showed a driveway on 16.10. Mr. Knutelsky has asked me to take that off the plan which I will, because it's a cul-de-sac. You have a number of driveways coming out and we'll just fit them all is what we will do.
Q. Number eight?
A. That's a long one. I'm just reading it.
Q. Sure.
A. My comment is that it's no different than any driveway that does go through a road right-of-way to reach the traveled way. So my belief is it should be treated exactly like that. Driveway will be approximately 40 feet longer than it is now. But just as an example, elsewhere in the subdivision there's one lot in Wantage. It's got about a 600-foot driveway. When I lived in Stillwater I had a 600-foot driveway. It's just not out of the ordinary to have a house's
driveway be greater than the 35 feet setback required from the road.
Q. And in fact, it's your understanding that with regard to the balance of the subdivision that the responsibility with regard to right-of-way versus roadway that the understanding was in the condition at that time and will continue to be the condition now that the town once they ultimately accept the road will be responsible for the maintenance and upgrade of the road, meaning the cartway, and that the right-of-way that they extend into, I'll call it the private portion of the lot, that will be maintained and all of the other lots on this major preliminary subdivision will be maintained by the property owner. That was the original intent, that will be the intent with regard to this particular modification; correct?
A. That will be my recommendation.

Item number nine, I spoke of the removal of a proposed driveway in 16.10.
Q. And you're in agreement to that?
A. Yes. And I will be preparing a plot land that will show the house, and septic, and everything else on that lot. And then the driveway will be put on it. It will be reviewed, and I hope approved.

Item number ten, request the adding of the
shade tree easement, which I have no problem with, and I simply forgot to put that layer on when $I$ printed it. So no problems there.

Item eleven, once again, refers to the streetlight which was answered in Item C-3. We'll deal with it. The developer will move it into the new road driveway in the proper distance from the pavement. No questions about that.

Okay. Item 12. There's a note about the well on the common property line between Lot 16.11 and 16.10. That well was built on the property line. I've surveyed it when I did the final survey, which I did for 16.11, so it's shown accurately on the plan.

Items 13 through 15 are basically attorney questions.
Q. And Item 13 has to do with a COAH assessment. Whatever the assessment appropriately is in accordance with the ordinance will of course be required, and there will be a condition no doubt. There is also a recommendation to revise the developer's agreement, including specific language related to the design modifications. I've now received from your attorney a copy of the prior developer's agreement and certainly that can be modified to accommodate exactly what was being said.

There is also an Item 15 is recommended that the all physical cul-de-sac changes be constructed as part of any favorable Resolution and that the completion of such improvements be specifically tied to a certificate of occupancy. The answer is, the construction will be done in accordance with the plans that are being shown to you, which we hope will ultimately be approved. We will be seeking to talk to your billing department because of the fact that there has been a considerable delay for the McAllisters so we'll deal with those issues in terms of the issuance of the building permit, whether or not it will be a building permit or a temporary building permit, but we'll talk to the building inspector with regard to that.

Number 16, I perfected my deed. And then we're happy to do whatever the Board prefers, whether it's deed or by a map filing we'll be happy to do that. And I'll be happy to work with Mr. Brigliadoro to accomplish that appropriately and efficiently.
A. Perhaps I could add to that?
Q. Sure you could.
A. I have prepared four metes and bounds description, those two parcels that are going to change hands. And I've also prepared two descriptions of the

1 proposed shape of the new lots. That way there will be a proper change of title and there will be no confusion in the future as to where did this piece of lot go to. Why isn't a piece of the right-of-way there. It makes it very clear after years of doing these surveys and researching for them not preparing a deed restriction like that leads to confusion in the end.
Q. So we'll be happy to accomplish that as part of any approval process.

And lastly I think it's just the fact that Mr. Knutelsky said that he will be here at the hearing, and he is. So that's number 17. And with that, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, that is our testimony with regard from an engineering viewpoint.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Any comments?
MR. KNUTELSKY: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to add, I was following along with the testimony from both the attorney and engineer and I have crossed off a bunch of the items that they have indicated that they would comply with, and we will -- I'm sure our attorney will provide that as conditions of approving Resolution. There are just a couple of items that I just wanted to further in regard to Item number eight. I did want to make the comment that Hardyston Township will not be providing any maintenance responsibilities
for the extended driveway or any of the grass in front of the properties that have been extended. Lot 16.11 and 16.10 will have a larger right-of-way in front of them than typical. This is an atypical situation for the cul-de-sac. Usually it's concentric or parallel to the -- your front property line, your right-of-way it's usually concentric or parallel to the traveled way. This is a little wider in those areas because of the offset of the cul-de-sac bulb so there is another 30 feet of grass driveway in those areas that will have to be maintained. Hardyston Township will not be maintaining them. That is their position on this application, even though it is considered right-of-way. So that is a distinction $I$ want to make sure is there for the record.

In regard to Item number 15, in regard to the favorable Resolution, again, we want to make sure that this work is completed in accordance with the details of the original subdivision plans and as an operating order for access to all the lots that are available there. So that's why we're tying that to the C.O. we want to make sure that that work is being done expeditiously and ASAP.

And then the other item, the notation escapes me, but in terms of the removal of the driveway
for Lot 16.10 that was our recommendation. Because everything on a cul-de-sac ends up coming towards the center things get tighter as you get closer to the cul-de-sac itself. That's why we're asking for the removal of that driveway.

Mr. Kolody mentioned that he has a plot plan and development for that lot to show the driveway. We would make the recommendation that it be tied with the McAllister driveway to move it further away from the Burger driveway on Lot 16.11 maybe as a common driveway, is that something that can be entertained? THE WITNESS: It certainly could be. I personally do not recommend it.

MR. THOMAS: Would you agree, Tom, that common driveways tend to breed problems? I understand --

MR. KNUTELSKY: Good fences make good neighbors, is that the adage we're going with? The reason why I was strongly recommending a common driveway in that location are twofold. One, there's a lot of driveway entrances in a short distance of time along the cul-de-sac right in the travel-way itself. The Applicant, even though it's really not the McAllisters it's Mr. Hook and he owns both lots, so now would be the time to have a common driveway in that
location while the Applicant is before us. And I feel strongly that unless the driveway for the Burger Lot is going to bend in such a way to get it further away, which I don't agree with either, it should be straight on down his original driveway connecting into the cul-de-sac as best as practicable. That doesn't leave a lot of room to the side. So I continue to request the common driveway approach unless shown differently in the plot plan. I can go that far.

MR. THOMAS: Well, again, I haven't talked to my client about this, but I'm looking at the sort of the plot plan for Lot 16.09, and the house is there. I mean, we know that that house is there. I don't know if the driveway's there, but it would seem to me that when you say a common driveway it would appear to me that maybe if what you're suggesting is that the McAllister driveway move a little over I guess it would be to the north if we look at the arrow, or no to the west I guess, so that it comes up to the -- potentially to the property line and that the Lot 16.10 , they kind of touch. Maybe if that's what you're talking about. MR. KNUTELSKY: That's what I'm talking about. So not so much a common -- let me reiterate. Not a common driveway for the entire length, just where it intersects the cul-de-sac and then they branch
apart. So maybe a 20-foot width along the traveled way and then it branches into individual driveways to each separate lot. That would be our recommendation and that's what I meant by a common driveway. Common driveway entrance might be a better term.

THE WITNESS: I have a question. So you're speaking to have the driveway width approximately 20 feet at the street where it reaches the traveled way?

MR. KNUTELSKY: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: And then immediately
thereafter separate?
MR. KNUTELSKY: Correct.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Because then you have a
10-foot driveway that would be maintained separately.
MR. THOMAS: Separately distinctly.
THE WITNESS: I do not want to see any
common driveways. They tend to become problems when you have one person not like the other person. CHAIRMAN HOMA: Draw a line down the middle so you know where to stop shoveling.

THE WITNESS: There are times when common driveways are advisable. One example would be if there is a limited site distance, but there's no limited site distance. Second, if you're on a busy street, arterial
secondary type of street where you have high speed traffic and you want to cut down on the number of conflicts. But those conditions don't exist here. I understand --

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Well, it seems to me the frontage to the road is very narrow, because the pie-shaped lots constrict as they get closer to the road.

THE WITNESS: I understand that. I'll explore the 20 -foot width at the traveled way. I have no problem with that. But $I$ don't want have my client enter into any legal agreements as to who maintains it.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Okay. Understand that. Tom, anything else?

MR. KNUTELSKY: I'll wait for follow-up on any questions after -- you know, if the Board has any other questions or even the Applicant's attorney when he returns to the dais.

Again, I think three driveways with limited distance in between $I$ think is problematic. And a common driveway entrance is the way to go here. But Mr. Chairman, that would finish our report for the matter.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Okay. I feel Mr. Burger's driveway should also be straight. It shouldn't be
altered.
MR. KNUTELSKY: It should be as straight as
practicable to enter into the new configuration of the
cul-de-sac.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Any questions for the
engineer?
BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: I have one. Mr.
Kolody, earlier meetings we discussed on Lot 16.10
there's a drainage easement on the trench in that
southwest corner. I think that's where the water from
the cul-de-sac originally was supposed to drain to. Is
that true, or am I missing --
THE WITNESS: There may be some type of
depression so to speak that occurred during the
construction practice, but there's never any intent on
the preliminary plat to direct water anywhere but to
the two catch basins on the street.
BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: Right. So the catch
basins are going to a detention pond?
THE WITNESS: Yes, they do.
BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: And where is the
detention pond located? I don't see it on the --
THE WITNESS: It's behind Lot 16.08.
BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: So -- okay.
THE WITNESS: And it also collects water
from Davon to a different -- there's two easements that bring water into it.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Are we actually reducing the area in the roadway compared to the original? BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: Comparing the -yeah.

THE WITNESS: The paved amount?
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes?
THE WITNESS: It may be slightly reduced, yes. Because in effect it's 40-feet shorter than it was originally. And going to the left does not really add any length to it. So you'll have a little bit -you'll have somewhat less water, but that won't harm any.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: Getting back to the drainage. 16.08 is that a higher elevation than 16.10? Are we having a detention pond that's actually uphill or are we going to pitch it down?

THE WITNESS: 16.08 is higher at the road and it's lower in the back, and the water's carried by conduit to a low area in the back. The original design --

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: So the detention is to the rear of the property of 16.08? THE WITNESS: It's on the rear of 16.08 I'm
pretty sure. I don't have that particular plan in front of me, but $I$ know where it goes.

MR. KNUTELSKY: I think it's roughly on the adjoining line between 16.08 and 16.09.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: The only reason why I ask this question is, we're moving that cul-de-sac away from that detention pond in the design. So I'm just concerned that we're not fighting gravity. Especially if it snows all the water and the snow is going to gravitate to the bottom of that cul-de-sac and John here is going to have to shovel a lot of snow if the water doesn't drain.

THE WITNESS: Believe me, I was here about a month and a half ago during a real sever thunder storm and that water runs down that street into those basins. And it will continue to do so. It won't take any longer to get there, it might be slightly less, but it's not a problem.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: You're okay with
that?
MR. KNUTELSKY: Yes, and here's the reason why. The grade elevation for those two inlets the road range is about 501, and the general elevations up and around the cul-de-sac are 2 feet higher. So there's plenty of pitch to go from the cul-de-sac to those
areas if we grade it right in the field.
BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: I'm worried about the catch basin to the detention ponds. That pitch.

MR. KNUTELSKY: I know from site inspection
I don't have the information on this plan but standing on those inlets looking out towards the detention basin it's a noticeable drop from the inlet grades to the detention basin.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: I've only been to the site once and didn't pay attention to that probably. MR. KNUTELSKY: I didn't have a concern about that at all when $I$ was on the site.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: Okay. That's all I cared about. Thank you.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: Sure.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Any other questions? BOARD MEMBER CAIAZZO: I have one question. How will the redesign of the cul-de-sac affect negatively or positively Lot 16.11? And you did talk about it somewhat.

THE WITNESS: It won't affect it in any way other than the fact the driveway is going to be about 30 to 40 feet longer. But it doesn't drain towards 16.11. They're kind of independent. Some might come
from 16.11 onto this property, but it always did. BOARD MEMBER CAIAZZO: Who extends the driveway another 20 feet, is that the builder? THE WITNESS: Builder, yes. CHAIRMAN HOMA: It will be matched up properly? Because when you add to the driveway you see some problems.

THE WITNESS: It will be handled very well. I've been out there. I've looked at it. The driveway at 16.11 is in very good shape. It's been seal coated so we would -- I spoke to the applicant and we will seal coat it the same way, the extension.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: As long as everything matches.

THE WITNESS: Yes, that's the idea. The owner of 16.11 maintains his property very well. In fact, he handled some of the adjoining two lots. He has them very nicely maniscaped (sic.)

MR. THOMAS: Manicured?
THE WITNESS: Manicured. And it should be an asset. Both lots when they're developed will be an asset to the subdivision, and likewise an asset to his property.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Right.
MR. KNUTELSKY: Mr. Chairman, just one
follow-up question in regard to the driveway and the driveway extension that we're referring to. I think I might have alluded to in my report. This really -extension is not -- at least the way I see it from a construction standpoint, we're not going to be extending the driveway, what we're going to be doing is saw cutting the actual cul-de-sac paving that's there now and leaving the paving as the driveway extension. We're not physically going to pave it or are we rebuilding the end of the driveway?

THE WITNESS: It might have to be rebuilt to a certain extent because to maintain proper drainage that way. And of course, we still need to top on the road anyhow.

MR. KNUTELSKY: Okay. Any of the paving that isn't needed, though, for any of the driveway work will need to be removed and decompacted. That was one of our notes and I think you've agreed to that already. MR. THOMAS: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. KNUTELSKY: Okay. And then access out of any of the private lots will be available 24 hours a day, seven days a week while this work is being completed; correct? No one is going to get stuck in their property?

THE WITNESS: The amount of construction activity in the actual road right-of-way does not change the grade very much at all. It may go up or down a couple of inches or so, but there's absolutely no need to obstruct the roadway for owners' use, emergency vehicles, or anything like that. So access will be maintained at all times.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Okay.
MR. KNUTELSKY: Okay. Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Rich, any questions.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Yes. The only thing I wanted to discuss with the Applicant is, obviously we know that when the application, a variance application was presented to this Board a few months ago, and the Board denied that application. And during that hearing as Mr. Thomas indicated the Board had recommended relocating the cul-de-sac in order to provide a front-yard setback for the house on Lot 16.09 that will be compliant with the ordinance. So naturally that's the reason why the Applicant's back tonight.

So my question is, in the event, if the Board were to deny the application would the only thing left for the Applicant to do would be to take a house that's basically fully constructed --

MR. THOMAS: Destroy it.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Okay.
MR. THOMAS: Simply destroy it.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Hence the reason why --
MR. THOMAS: The reason why we're here.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Right.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Is there any time frame you have for this cul-de-sac construction?

THE WITNESS: From discussing it with the Applicant he wants the relocation -- and knowing it's tied into 16.09 -- he wants it done as soon as possible. So the only thing that I require is the location of the utilities. I will change the plans within a couple of days. File them with the Board. Send them directly to Mr. Knutelsky who I've worked with on numerous other projects out of town. So I see any reason anything should be delayed.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: I was asking about time, how long it will take?

MR. THOMAS: You're talking about construction time?

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes, construction starts when?

MR. THOMAS: What do you think the construction time will be once you get all the preliminary things worked out?

THE WITNESS: Ten working days. CHAIRMAN HOMA: Ten days? THE WITNESS: That's it.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: As long as everybody gets on board.

THE WITNESS: Once we get approvals.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: And approvals. Right. Any
questions?
BOARD MEMBER CAIAZZO: I have one more
question. On Lot 16.11 I understand that there will be some modification in reference to the driveway, and if that's true supposedly the owner of that lot does not concur.

MR. THOMAS: Well, the -- well, then, I guess that becomes an individual issue between the owner of that lot and the Applicant. I don't understand why such a position would be taken since there is no impact with regard to his lot, and that the Applicant is indicating that they will construct a new addition, if you will, or addition to the driveway, and they will make sure that it matches exactly the existing driveway. And it's already been offered that it will be seal coated appropriately. And as has been suggested by your engineer, Mr. Knutelsky, and we have agreed to it, that any asphalt that might be otherwise
on the property that becomes useless that will be appropriately removed and graded and seeded and et cetera.

So all of the items that we have talked about we have agreed to. So I don't see that there's any reason why this application has any impact in regard to any of the lots, whether it be the lot for Mr. Burger in Lot 11, or for that matter the lots that are now currently unoccupied which are 10 and 12.

BOARD MEMBER CAIAZZO: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Can I just add one thing to that?

MR. THOMAS: Sure.
THE WITNESS: All the driveway extension is within the road right-of-way and not on this private property.

MR. THOMAS: Oh. And that makes it a big difference as well. So that issue really doesn't apply.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Any comments from the professionals?

MR. KNUTELSKY: Mr. Chairman, I have no other comments at this time?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Neither do I, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: All right. The Board no has no comments, so it's open to the public.

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Burger, you need to be sworn.

MR. BURGER: I heard him.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Mr. Burger, if you could just --

MR. BURGER: All right. I heard the man.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: That's right. Pull it over closer to you. That's fine. Just try to keep your voice up so let me swear you in. I know you know the drill.

MR. BURGER: No, I don't.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Do you swear or affirm the testimony you're about to give before this Board is going to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

MR. BURGER: I do.
$J O H N B U R G E R$, having been duly
sworn, testified as follows:
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Please tell us your name, spell your last name, and give us give us your address.

MR. BURGER: My name is John Burger, B-u-r-g-e-r. I live at 7 Anthony Court. And I believe I'm the guy that's been mentioned many times tonight at

Lot 16.11. I've been told by other people it doesn't affect you, but it affects me greatly. So if $I$ could, off the record a little bit, I'd like to extend --

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Everything is on the record. I don't know --

MR. BRIGLIADORO: John, everything's on the record.

MR. BURGER: I know. So I little bit of history. I'm very familiar with Aandrei J. Investors and Modern Method. They built my home. I'm familiar with Michael Kolody. He's a gentleman, unless -- so, let me do the best I can.

I'm not a lawyer. I'm not an engineer. I'm not a consultant. I'm nobody, just a homeowner. So let me compliment Mr. Knutelsky. He put a lot of good points in this summation here. A lot. I agree with a lot of them.

When it comes to these items one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, 25, 26, 27 and -- I mean, I don't understand it. That's for you guys to work out.

When it comes to Items One through 17 you answered some of the questions, who's going to maintain this.

HARDYSTON POLICE OFFICER: Pardon me.

Sorry. There is a panic alarm that went off here. A panic alarm went off in the municipal court.

MR. BURGER: From a truck?
MR. BRIGLIADORO: No, in here.
HARDYSTON POLICE OFFICER: NO. In this room a panic alarm went off. I mean, everyone appears to be in good health here. I just wanted to make sure that everything is okay. That's all right. Enjoy the night.

MR. BURGER: So like I said, you made some really good bullet points in my opinion. You addressed a lot of the issues that $I$ as the homeowner would be concerned about.

I built a four-bedroom house at the end of the cul-de-sac, a double-shaped cul-de-sac. Aandrei J. Investors and Modern Method built it. When you do that I built it at the end of a cul-de-sac for a reason, privacy, you know, security. I've got farmland behind me, all those kinds of things. This thing is just a mess in my opinion. It creates more problems than it answers anything. I mean, it's no one's fault other than the Applicant why a house was built 35 feet or 30 feet into the right-of-way. So this driveway, again, I'm not an engineer, I'm not a lawyer, I'm nothing. These plans
that were submitted, a normal person can't make heads or tails out of it. I'm not the brightest guy but I can read it. I've talked to other people in town and they couldn't understand it either. But irregardless, there's a lot of factual errors on here. My driveway is paved. It's not a gravel driveway. It's a pretty long driveway. When I built the house the house was put 2.89 acres, it was put towards the back so I have plenty -- so I have a long driveway.

So with this here it's going to make the driveway 50 feet longer than $I$ have. Again, who's going to maintain it? Who's -- with the adversarial relationship with Aandrei J. Investors what's he going to tell me, $I$ can't walk in that area if it's done? I mean, you know, it's just another factual error. And if I'm pointing out things that don't make sense, tell me. To me they make sense.

Owner applicant Aandrei J. Investors, LLC, David Hook, 6 Davon Court, Hamburg. He doesn't live there. That's not -- the Mihaliks live there. He sold that house four, five years ago to the Mihaliks. What are you listing 6 Davon Court as an address? I mean, shouldn't it be the correct address from the Applicant? Who owns who? When I built the house it was Modern Method, Modern Method Flooring. What is it

1 now? Modern Method who? You know, the names keep changing.

Like I said, I'm the guy at 16.11. My whole world gets upside down. I built the house at the end of a bubble shaped cul-de-sac because someone -I've got to watch my words -- violated the right-of-way cul-de-sac, we all have to suffer? I have to suffer? It doesn't address anything. It doesn't address the sewers. Why aren't the two sewers up the road? It's not -- I think the testimony was that it's at the end of the cul-de-sac. End of the cul-de-sac the other way, not the cul-de-sac that's in front of my house. There's no drainage there. Period. When it rains it all pools up.

How are you going to address that? You're not addressing any of the storm sewers or anything. Again, my view you conveniently are changing this cul-de-sac before -- I'm sorry, after the storm drainage. Where is all this water going to go when you change all elevations and blah, blah, blah.

It brought up there's a utility light. On the bubble shaped cul-de-sac as you're looking at my house it's to the right. As it is now at night that thing shines into our upper bedroom. Not complaining. Where are you going to locate this light to now?

1 There's no telling where it's going to be located to.

If you change the shape of the cul-de-sac you're going to come down a road and in somewhere 50 feet out, I guess, from where my house is now it's going to swing to the left if you're looking at my home. That's where the "P" is going to be. You're going to create a bunch of traffic coming down the road. Instead of having a wide bubble-shaped cul-de-sac you're going to come down the road now and swing right in front of my house to go off to the cul-de-sac at the left. A lot of problems. It just creates a lot of problems in my view.

It doesn't address anything. Let me say some of the things that Mr. Knutelsky pointed out. Who's going to do this work? It took two years to build the existing house, approximately. Okay. It took over two years. Who's going to create this what I consider this monstrosity "P" shaped cul-de-sac? There's nothing marked. There's no markers. There's no monument. There's no pin set. There's no nothing. I know Mr. Kolody because he did my survey on my house and then he retired to Florida, I think. But irregardless, he's a gentleman. So I believe it was July 17th there was construction going on where it wasn't supposed to be in this "P" shape. So the

1 Township was notified. Mr. Butto came down. Mr. Kolody was there and I went outside and Mike says to me, oh, you don't recognize me, right? I said no, honestly I don't. I'm Mike Kolody. Hey, how are you doing? We started talking. Within ten minutes someone -- I won't say who, because you've got to watch who you mention, someone from Aandrei J. Investors come running across the cul-de-sac from the house, chastising me and chastising Mr. Kolody that I shouldn't be talking to him. They were paying for -he's working on my dime I think was the terminology that was used. He was embarrassed; I was embarrassed. I mean, is this how the rest of this is going to go? Like I said it creates a lot of problems. It doesn't just the roads. I see somewhere in there where the cul-de-sac -- I was going to bring pictures down tonight, if anybody from the Board hasn't been in the development. The roads are atrocious, the cul-de-sac is atrocious. The whole cul-de-sac is falling apart. Crumbling is the word I'll use. How are you going to -- where is it, saw cut a crumbling cul-de-sac? How is anybody going to do that? That's the kind of job you're proposing? You know, the whole thing should be ripped up if that is what the Board is going to approve this thing. The whole road should be

1 ripped up. Put the proper gravel in.

MR. BURGER: I'm trying to stay on cue. Correct me when I'm, you know --

CHAIRMAN HOMA: We don't care about the construction part. There will be inspectors at different stages.

MR. BURGER: Well, wouldn't that be a concern? If for seven years I'm living in a cul-de-sac that has dirt coming through that's crumbled, okay, the Township pulled a bond two, three years ago and I was told that we're going to repave. Nobody's repaved. Then it got to be, well, we don't know who owns the road.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Let's limit it to your objections. That's all going to be covered by the construction part.

MR. BURGER: Well, I think I am addressing construction, but -- okay. So being the Township has pulled the Applicant's bond are you going to require a new bond? Are you going to require however that works? I don't know. How are you going to guarantee that the work is done?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: That's beyond the scope.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: That's beyond the scope of what we're on right now.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: That's an issue for the Township, if this were to be approved.

MR. BURGER: All right. So that's an issue for the Township. And I don't know if this is an issue for the Township. My understanding was when I built there --

HARDYSTON POLICE OFFICER: It's going off again.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Do you know where it is?
HARDYSTON POLICE OFFICER: It should be in the center underneath the desk.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Can we wind this down?
MR. BURGER: Pardon?
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Can we wind this down and wrap up?

MR. BURGER: I'm trying to be as fast as I can.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Okay. Let's stay on the subject.

MR. BURGER: Okay. So we're going go one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, just like what Mr.

Knutelsky is saying. Like I said, I agree with a lot of the questions he asked. He asked very good questions that I'm concerned about.

Number two, who's going to do the maintenance? Nobody's doing it now, but who's going to do it if this thing is approved?

Number three, you're going to move a longer driveway into the road. That's going to get at -- the 19,000-square feet approximately is going to be added onto 16.09. So 16.09 gains property. Right. It's going to come off of the lot across the street which is 16.12.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Just Mr. Burger, you may have said 19,000 , it's 1,920 feet.

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
MR. BURGER: What did I say? McAllisters house is 16.10?

MR. THOMAS: No, 16.09.
MR. BURGER: No, I'm sorry, 16.09.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: 16.09.
MR. BURGER: 16.10 is the lot between me and Mr. McAllister. I'm 16.11.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Right. I was just -- I thought you said 19,000 plus or minus square feet is going to the McAllister but it's only 1,900. That's
all. We're just trying to clarify the record.
THE WITNESS: It says 1,923. Is that what
it says?
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Right. That's what it says, but you said 19,000. That's all. We're just correcting you.

MR. BURGER: 1,923.4-square feet.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: I think this is enough. We have heard your arguments. We should really get back to our case here.

MR. BURGER: Okay.
MR. THOMAS: I have no questions of Mr.
Burger.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Anybody else from the public? (No response.) Seeing no one, close it to the public.

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Chairman -- hold on. Just a couple of quick responses from Mr. Kolody. He'll be very quick. Do you mind if I sit down?

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yeah, go ahead.
EXAMINATION OF MR. KOLODY BY MR. THOMAS:
Q. Mike, Mr. Burger indicated that his driveway is paved. You understand that it's paved; correct?
A. Yes, I do. That's an error on my part that

I will correct. I took that information off the survey. I did -- when he got a CO. He paved it subsequently to that.
Q. And you already indicated that the driveway will be paved and you also indicated that the Applicant will seal coat it so it will look exactly the same as his existing driveway; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he also raised a question with regard to will you be able to walk on the driveway that's in the right-of-way with the implication that the Hooks are going to interfere with that. Is that possible because it's in the right-of-way?
A. No.
Q. Okay. He talked about the concern about storm, sewer, and drainage. You've evaluated the drainage; is that correct?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Is there any modification that is going to occur to the drainage and the stormwater with a bulb cul-de-sac versus the "P" cul-de-sac?
A. It's not a "P" cul-de-sac. It's going to be a bulb.
Q. He indicated further that the light that currently exists shines into his bedroom. The light is
going to be reconfigured or relocated; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's something that can be dealt with in the field?
A. That relocation will in all likely be specified by the utility company.
Q. All right. Now, he mentioned something. I just was a little concerned, but I'll bring it up. He mentioned something about creating traffic. This particular action does not create any more traffic because it does not create any more lots; correct?
A. Correct.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. I would just like to make a quick summation, if $I$ could.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Go ahead.
MR. THOMAS: Oh, one more comment by Mr.
Kolody.
THE WITNESS: I appreciate how finely Mr.
Burger went over this plat. He picked out two errors that I made, grammatical errors that I will, of course, correct them. He also referred to conversation I witnessed. I was not embarrassed. I have a very thick skin and I've been doing this for 50 years. People can say what people say. Thank you. I appreciate being before this Board. I think it's the one I missed in

Sussex County.
MR. THOMAS: Well, now you've completed your career.

THE WITNESS: Except for Walpack.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: You can retire now.
THE WITNESS: I'm trying to.
MR. THOMAS: I'm going to be very brief. We have presented our case. I'm not going to review it specifically but I do want to address some of the comments that were made by Mr. Burger.

I'm not here to pretend that I could persuade Mr. Burger that he will like the Hooks. That's impossible. I'm not going to be able to do that. I can talk and talk and talk and that's what I do for a living, but I'm not able to persuade him that he's going to end up liking the Hooks, and I understand that. But that's not really the issue.

He pointed out he wanted to go to the
facts. And everybody can have their own opinion, but you can't have your own facts. And the facts are that he does agree with Mr. Knutelsky's report. So do we. He also has indicated some other things that Mr. Kolody has responded to and made it very clear that while I understand he's not an engineer or an attorney but he made some statements that maybe he just misunderstood
and I can appreciate that; whereby, he is not able to walk on this new driveway. Of course he's able to walk on the new driveway. You know that. The mere fact that it's in the right-of-way does not prohibit him from doing that.

So what I'm really saying ladies and gentlemen is that we have made every attempt to end up complying with what we think is your request to have this home be able to be lived in by the McAllisters who have been here for some considerable period of time waiting patiently to be able to acquire or take possession of this home.

The Applicant has made the effort to move the cul-de-sac. They will be doing that. They have made it clear that now the variance is eliminated and we think that what has been proposed is an appropriate Resolution of this matter and we are seeking to simply get an amendment to the prior Preliminary and Final Major Subdivision as it relates to this particular section. And if there's a need to do a modified developer's agreement we can end up doing that either with your Counsel, or with the Township Counsel, whatever works, and whatever the conditions are which we have already talked about and stated that we are perfectly happy to abide by all of them and we agree

1 with all of them.

So with that ladies and gentlemen we would appreciate and we do appreciate your attendance, number one. We appreciate your attention, and we would appreciate your vote in favor of this application. Thank you very much. And I'm happy to answer any questions that any of the Board members may have either myself or Mr. Kolody, or for that matter Mr. Hook who testified previously. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Rich?
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, so the Applicant is seeking Amended Preliminary and Final Subdivision approval. Essentially, the Applicant's going to be relocating the bulb end of the cul-de-sac. Lot 16.09 will see an increase in lot area of 1,923.4-square feet, which will enable the Applicant to provide a 35.1 foot front yard setback, which is in compliance with the ordinance requirements. Lot 16.12 is going to see a reduction in lot area of 4,726.3-square feet. It will still be over 62,000-square feet in area.

In terms of conditions, I know there have been a lot of representations on the record that the Applicant will comply with everything in Mr. Knutelsky's letter of August -- review report of August

1 11th. I think it's important that a Resolution, if the Board wants to look favorably upon this and approve the application, that as Mr. Knutelsky has recommended that there be a common driveway entrance for Lot 16.09, 16.19 and 16.10, but just at the street. And then they'll each -- the driveways will split and one will go to 16.10 and one will go to 16.9.

The Applicant indicated that they would meet any COAH requirement. The Applicant is going to show the 10 -foot wide shade trees on the plans. So all of the things that are indicated in Mr. Knutelsky's report the Applicant's going to comply with. And as Mr. Knutelsky indicated on the record that the Township would not be responsible for the maintenance of the areas of -- Tom, can you just --

MR. KNUTELSKY: Yes. Again, to reiterate, the Township will not be responsible for the expanded right-of-way outside the cartway in front of Lot 16.11 and 16.10.

CHAIRMAN HOMA: Is there anything in our jurisdiction that would limit the CO being given until this is completed, the cul-de-sac?

MR. KNUTELSKY: Other than a condition there's nothing that will prohibit that.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: When you're dealing with

1 a CO that's within the province of the construction code official. So typically what happens with any application that comes before the Board, the Board approves it. There are conditions of approval. It goes to the zoning office or construction code official. Everybody looks at it, makes sure that all the conditions are satisfied, and once they're satisfied then they issue the COs.

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: Can I ask a quick question? Is there a way to set a date positive for the completion?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Can we set a date that it has to be completed by?

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: Yes.
MR. BRIGLIADORO: I don't believe we can.
BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: Is that under our scope, and is that unfair to the Applicant?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: You can't really set a date that it has to be --

MR. THOMAS: I understand the tenor of the question. I don't know that $I$ can stipulate a date certain, because right now the weather has been fabulous. I don't know what's going to happen next week. I know that -- I've spoken to my client and they indicate that they want to get it done as soon as
possible. Believe me, I've spoke to the McAllisters they want it done yesterday. And you heard from Mr. Kolody that the actual construction time I think he said was ten days?

THE WITNESS: Ten days. Ten working days. MR. THOMAS: So it's a matter of just getting the maps done, which can be done very quickly. And then getting approval from the construction office and they're going to be ready to go. They have -- as I understand it they have the equipment ready and available and it can be done so that it can move forward very quickly. And we're going to talk to the construction office.

I'll be very frank, we think and I don't know that you are going to make any recommendations, but because of the fact that the house has already met all of the inspections and has passed all of the inspections from the construction office we are going to be asking the construction office to issue a temporary Certificate of Occupancy, but that will be up to the construction office and we'll discuss it with them.

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: So you have a date, let's say October 2024 would be -MR. THOMAS: Oh, I mean, if that's the date

1 you're talking about, sure. That's not a problem.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: Two months?
MR. THOMAS: You said 2024. Did you mean 2023?

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: No, 2024. MR. BRIGLIADORO: Fine.

MR. THOMAS: Sure. That's not a -- yeah. ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Mr. Brigliadoro, in my notes you had indicated you were looking at -- and it's not definitive -- are we going for a developer's agreement? Are we just --

MR. BRIGLIADORO: My recommendation would be that we put a condition in the Resolution that there be a developer's agreement or an amendment to the developer's agreement as directed by the Township.

MR. THOMAS: I don't have a problem with that. I'm hopeful that I can talk to Mr. Semrau and it's just simply an amendment to the existing developer's agreement. I think that's appropriate because we're only dealing with this cul-de-sac, but I'll talk to him about it.

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: One more question.
As far as the cleaning of the cul-de-sac you normally do maintenance on a cul-de-sac and you do maintenance for snow removal on the cul-de-sac; correct?

MR. THOMAS: It's my understanding that two things: One, until the road is accepted, yes, the developer will do that. It is also my understanding, which is why I represented it because I was concerned about it, that there were bonds that were called by the Township, they now have -- the Town now has $\$ 360,000$. If there's any issue with regard to maintenance I think the Town is in a position if they feel as though the maintenance is not being conducted they have $\$ 360,000$ which provides for an awful lot of maintenance.

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: The maintenance in which you have to do for the common area.

MR. THOMAS: You mean the cul-de-sac? BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: For the area of the driveway that's been extended. Does that create a problem? Because you say it's now private property. MR. THOMAS: I think it's kind of private property even though -- well, it's in the right-of-way, but again, that's going to be done in conjunction, and I'm not a contractor so I can't really speak definitively, but my belief is what's going to happen is there's going be -- the modification of the cul-de-sac at the same time there will be the modification of the driveway so that it's all done currently. It makes no sense from my viewpoint to do
it otherwise. So that's what's going to be done at the same time. So within that ten days there will be the modification to the driveway, there will be the modification to the cul-de-sac.

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: I'm talking about the maintenance of the snow removal ongoing.

MR. THOMAS: That will be the continued responsibility of each individual property owner as it has been throughout the township and throughout this particular subdivision when you end up having the Town -- and it's been very clear that Mr. Knutelsky has indicated that the Town is responsible for the cartway and that if there is a right-of-way that's on private -- it's sort of an option $I$ want to say, right-of-way on private property. But generally speaking then that becomes the responsibility of the property owner. That's just the way it's always been. It's the way it's going to be here.

BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: The way it is everywhere else; correct?

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HOMA: Rich, do we have a Resolution that you would recommend?

MR. BRIGLIADORO: Well, if the Board wants to look favorably it would be a Resolution to grant
Preliminary -- Amended Preliminary and Final
Subdivision approval with the conditions that we have
put on the record, and our standard conditions that we
always put in there.
BOARD MEMBER GOODSON: I'll move the
Resolution.

ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: And there's a condition and there's a reason.

MR. BRIGLIADORO: To approve. Motion to approve. Okay.

BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: I'll second it.
ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Motion by Sally
Goodson. Second by --
MR. BRIGLIADORO: Mr. Alfano.
ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Tony Alfano. Any further discussion? There being none, Brian Kaminski? BOARD MEMBER KAMINSKI: Yes. ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Sally Goodson? BOARD MEMBER GOODSON: Yes.

ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Tony Alfano? BOARD MEMBER ALFANO: Yes.

ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Savas Savidis?
BOARD MEMBER SAVIDIS: Yes.
ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: James Caiazzo?
BOARD MEMBER CAIAZZO: Yes.

ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Carl Paladino?
BOARD MEMBER PALADINO: Yes.
ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Chairman Homa? CHAIRMAN HOMA: Yes.

ADMINISTRATOR WILHELM: Motion carries. MR. THOMAS: Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your attention. Thank you very much for your attendance tonight. I know it's been a bit of an inconvenience. But I know that I appreciate it, the Applicant appreciates it, but most importantly the McAllisters appreciate it. Thank you again.
(Whereupon, the hearing on this application concludes at 8:38 p.m.)
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